



Effective reviews of journal papers

Professor Abraham Althonayan SFHEA, CLC, CEC, CODC

Senior Lecturer in Strategic Management, Brunel University London. Senior Executive, the Strategic Centre.

Email: abraham.althonayan@brunel.ac.uk

Mobile/WhatsApp: +447864224664






The Role of the Reviewer

- ✓ Follow the Journal review guidance,
- ✓ Research Title,
- ✓ Research Problem,
- ✓ Research Contribution/ Originality,
- ✓ Data Collection and analysis,
- ✓ Impact.

Submission Process

Author Submits Article Review Process



This slide is 100% editable. Adapt it to your needs and capture your audience's attention.

Brunel University London Presentation Title 3

Reviewing Process

- ✓ Follow the Journal review guidance,
- ✓ Originality,
- ✓Impact,,

Reviewer Action

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?	
○ Yes	
○ No	
* Recommendation	
○ Accept	
Minor Revision	
 Major Revision 	
○ Reject	
ΩSpecial Characters	
*Comments to the Au ΩSpecial Characters	ıthor

Reviewer Decision

red In your opinion, does this paper provide sufficient context to potentially impact policy developme locally or globally, or to support progress to achieving UN Sustainable Development Goal to 'Build resilient infrastructure, promote sustainable industrialization and foster innovation'? [Your opinion this point will not affect whether a paper is accepted.]"



Recommendation

Reject

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Confidential Comments to the Editors

s://mc.manuscriptcentral

8/23 12 02 4

ScholarOne Manuscripts

Poor title and limited data collection. The main issue is pure technical and procedural issue.

Reviewer Decision

req In your opinion, does this paper provide sufficient context to potentially impact policy development, locally or globally, or to support progress to achieving UN Sustainable Development Goal to 'Build resilient infrastructure, promote sustainable industrialization and foster innovation'? [Your opinion on this point will not affect whether a paper is accepted.]"



Recommendation

Minor Revision

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Confidential Comments to the Editors

s://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rjrr

5/23-12:18 AM

ScholarOne Manuscripts

The lengthy paper presents in-depth and detailed literature review, data collection and well written, therefore, minor revision is needed to improve the paper.

The paper is well presented,

Need for improvement:

- 1. The aim of the research should be better presented.
- 2. Literature need updated, reduced and better literature outcome should presented.
- 3. Abstract and conclusion many need to strengthen, especially on aim, contribution, outcome and limitations

Brunel University London

Reviewer Comments/Feedback

- ✓ Follow the guidance;
- ✓ Carefully read/assess reviewers' comments;
- ✓ Re-submission;
- ✓ Re-evaluation;
- ✓ Impact;

Re-submission

- ✓ Follow the guidance;
- ✓ Addressing reviewers' comments;
- ✓ Re-submission;
- ✓ Re-evaluation;
- ✓ Final Decision.



Thank you for your participation!

ANY QUESTIONS?